

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/785,567	02/20/2001	Peter Balogh	P 277157 2000131US/Sml/kp	3519	
909	7590 03/25/2004		EXAMI	NER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			NGUYEN, ALAN V		
P.O. BOX 10	= = =		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, V	/A 22102			PAPER NUMBER	
			2662	9'	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i	8.6	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
•	•	09/785,56	7	BALOGH, PETER		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Alan Ngu		2662		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. io) days, a reply within the statuaturory period will apply and wire will, by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be to story minimum of thirty (30) do Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro ication to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .		•		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restri	are withdrawn from co				
Applicat	ion Papers			·		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 20 February Applicant may not request that any objected the Carlo of th	2001 is/are: a) \boxtimes acception to the drawing(s) by the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been recei e 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage		
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			



Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because there are two access point 2s labeled in figure 3. The "second AP2" should read "AP3". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 11, lines 5-6 the phrase "... compares the connection attributes of access points with the same network name as the currently serving access point..." should be removed, as it is redundant.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 11 objected to because of the following informalities:

On line 1 of claim11, "The terminal of claim 9;" should read "The terminal of claim 9," (note the comma should be used instead of semicolon).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2662

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Belanger et al (US 5,875,186) hereafter Belanger.

Regarding *claims 1, 9, and 16* Belanger discloses a method and terminal apparatus comprising:

a transceiver configured to communicate with an access point (access point unit 11 is in radio communication with a mobile unit 1; col 4 lines 65-67; fig1 and 3);

collecting means for collecting information related to available access points (tables describe to a mobile unit which access point units are good candidates; col 24 lines 32-40);

checking means for checking the network names of the available access points (mobile unit reads network ID number NETID; col 25 lines 5-9; also see col 12 lines 24-29);

selection means for selecting a first access point having best connection attributes of the available access points having a network name matching a currently serving access point (mobile unit is currently in communication with an access point that it has previously chosen as the best candidate in the network; col 24 lines 53-56) and configured to select a second access point having best connection attributes of the available access points having a different network name than the currently serving access point (mobile unit contacts an access point from another

Art Unit: 2662

area and reads an information table to find the best candidate in that area; col 24 lines 30-46, lines 53-56);

comparison means for comparing one or more connection attributes of the first access point and the second access point; and access means for establishing a connection to the second access point if differences between the compared connection attributes fulfill pre-determined conditions (a mobile unit decides to switch to a new access point unit by a decision made automatically by the mobile unit; col 26 lines 3-18).

Regarding *claims 2, 10, and 17* Belanger discloses a terminal, further comprising: user interface means for informing user of a terminal if the differences between the compared connection attributes fulfill the pre-determined conditions (a mobile unit decides to switch to a new access point unit by a decision made automatically by the mobile unit; col 26 lines 3-18), wherein the access means are arranged to establish a connection to the second access point if the user allows the connection (When a mobile unit wishes to transfer, it contacts a new access point and attempts to register; col 26 lines 10-19; also see col 20 lines 14-22).

Regarding *claims 3, 11, and 18* Belanger discloses a terminal where the access means are arranged to establish a connection to the first access point if the first access point is not the currently serving access point and at least one of the differences between the compared connection attributes does not fulfill the predetermined conditions (A mobile

Art Unit: 2662

unit decides to switch to a new access point unit by a decision made automatically by the mobile unit. If mobile unit decides to change access point if its current access point quality below a threshold, a candidate access point that within the same network can be chosen; col 26 lines 3-18).

Regarding *claims 4, 12, and 19* Belanger discloses a terminal where different connection attributes are weighted differently (the mobile unit receives from the access points information indicating the access points that are within range, as well as multiple values indicating a communication range for a particular access point to the mobile unit. Many factors are used to select the best access point, along with signal quality. There must be some percentage of value that is set for each factor; col 2 lines 46-56also see col 27 lines 21-60)

Regarding *claims 5, 6, 13, and 20* Belanger discloses a terminal further comprising: memory means for storing information sets identifying networks by network names and describing settings needed to access networks and associated network resources (each time a mobile unit registers with an access point unit, it gets a copy of that access points information table that contains descriptions of nearby candidate access points; col 24 lines 30-42), where the checking means are arranged to compare the network names of available access points with network names stored in the information sets, drop access points with network names not described in any of the

Art Unit: 2662

stored information sets, and establish a connection to the second access point using the settings described in the stored information sets (see col 24 lines 53-57).

Page 6

Regarding *claims* 7, 14, and 21 Belanger discloses a terminal where the connection attributes are determined at least based on signal levels of the available access points, wherein the selection means are arranged to select the first and the second access point having highest signal levels, wherein the comparison means are arranged to compare the signal levels of the first and the second access point, and wherein the access means are arranged to establish a connection to the second access point if the difference between the respective first and second signal levels is above a predetermined signal level limit (the mobile unit determines which access point provides the mobile unit with the highest quality communication; col 2 lines 20-26; A mobile unit decides to switch to a new access point unit when its quality of service reaches a certain threshold, and the candidate access point is above a certain threshold; col 26 lines 3-19).

Regarding *claims 8, 15, and 22* Belanger discloses a terminal where the terminal is a mobile terminal and is arranged to access wireless local area networks (a wireless local area network comprises mobile units; col 4 lines 17-20).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2662

Page 7

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patent is cited to show the state of the art with respect to the use of choosing access points based on signal quality

US Patent (5,991,287) to Diepstraten et al

US Patent (5,839,070) to Lupien et al

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alan Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0369. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-6pm ET

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 703-305-4798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AVN March 19, 2004

> RICKY NGO PRIMARY EXAMINER